Effect of pH on the morphology of TiO₂ nanostructures

R. Vasanthapriya^a, N. Neelakandeswari^{b,*}, K. Uthayarani^a, M. Chitra^a ^aDepartment of Physics, Sri Ramakrishna Engineering College, Coimbatore - 641022, Tamilnadu, India ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Nallamuthu Gounder Mahalingam College, Pollachi 642 001, India

Titanium oxide (TiO₂) nanostructures with different morphologies ranging from spheres, rods to sheets were prepared using solvothermal route. The effects of pH on the structural, morphological and optical properties of TiO_2 nanoparticles were investigated. Nanostructures are characterized using Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR), Powder X-ray Diffractometer (XRD), Scanning electron Microscope (SEM) and UV- Visible Spectroscopy.

(Received February 25, 2023; Accepted June 20, 2023)

Keywords: Titanium oxide, Nanostructures, Morphology

1. Introduction

Titanium dioxide has been one of the most studied materials for many ecological and universal applications. Titanium oxide (TiO_2) is available in the form of nanocrystals or nano rods having a high surface area. Titanium oxide exhibits good photo catalytic properties, hence is used in antiseptic and antibacterial compositions(1), degrading organic contaminants and germs (2), as a UV-resistant material (3), manufacture of printing ink, self-cleaning ceramics and glass coating (4), making of cosmetic products such as sunscreen creams, whitening creams, morning and night creams (5), used in the paper industry for improving the opacity of paper (6). TiO₂ exists in three main phases: anatase, brookite and rutile. Precipitating nanoparticles from a solution of chemical compounds can be classified into six major categories: colloidal methods, sol – gel processing, water – oil microemulsions method, hydrothermal synthesis, solvothermal synthesis and polyol method. When compared to other techniques solvothermal synthesis has many advantages such as easy and precise control of the size, shape distribution, crystalline of the final product through adjusting the parameters such as reaction temperature, reaction time, solvent type, surfactant type, precursor type and also pH.

Solvothermal synthesis is a method for preparing a variety of materials such as metals, semiconductors, ceramics, and polymers. The process involves the use of a solvent under moderate to high pressure and temperature that facilitates the interaction of precursors during synthesis. pH is an important factor that influences the synthesis of nanoparticles by solvothermal synthesis. pH of the solution has considerable effect on morphology, size, surface area and properties of nanomaterials. Therefore, nanoparticle size can be controlled by altering the pH of the solution media. Hence, in this present study, TiO₂ nanostructures are prepared by solvothermal method and the effect pH on the nature of TiO₂ nanostructure is studied.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation

The $Ti(OH)_2$ colloidal suspension was prepared by hydrolysis of titanium tetra isopropoxide(TTIP). In a typical process, 3 ml of titanium tetra isopropoxide was mixed with 10

^{*} Corresponding author: neela476@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.15251/DJNB.2023.182.767

ml of Cyclohexane. 0.1M microemulsion was prepared using Cyclohexane (10ml) and ethanol (40ml) in the presence of the surfactant, (Sodium dodecyl Sulphate). To the Microemulsion, aqueous solution of Hexamethylenetetramine (2.3gm) was added in dropwise with constant stirring. pH of the suspension was adjusted to 8,9 & 10 by the addition of aqueous ammonia and the suspension was allowed to stir for 1hr and transferred to a 250ml Teflon lined stainless steel autoclave. It was sealed tightly and maintained at 100° C for 1 hr. The autoclave was cooled to Room temperature; solid sample obtained was filtered, washed several times with distilled water and ethanol separately, dried at 100° C for 3 hrs and calcined at 700° C for 3 hrs. The samples were named as T–1, T–2 & T-3 respectively for pH - 8, 9 & 10.

2.2. Characterization

Crystallinity of the samples were identified by powder X – ray diffraction (XRD) at room temperature on a PANalyticalX'pert PRO X-ray diffractometer using Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) as X-ray source. Surface morphology of TiO₂ nanoparticles was imaged using ZEISS ultrafield emission Scanning Electron Microscope. The absorption spectra were recorded using JASCO UV-Visible spectrophotometer.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. X – Ray diffraction studies

Fig.1. shows the XRD pattern of prepared TiO₂ samples at different pH. For T-1, the maximum intensity peak observed at $2\theta = 27.455^{\circ}$ corresponds to (110) plane of the Rutile phase. (JCPDS File no. 78- 1510) (7). In T-2, the maximum intensity peak observed at $2\theta = 25.305^{\circ}$, corresponds to (101) plane of the anatase phase (JCPDS File no. 21-1272) (8). In addition, a peak appeared at 30.9° corresponding to the (121) plane of the brookite phase (JCPDS file no:29-1360) is also observed in both the samples (9). Presence of mixed diffractions corresponding to anatase, rutile and brookite phases of TiO₂ is observed for both the samples and indicates the existence of mixed phases in the prepared samples (10). The average crystallite size of prepared TiO₂nanoparticles were calculated by using Scherer's equation to the major peaks of the diffraction data (11) and the crystallite size of prepared TiO₂ at pH 8 , pH 9 and pH 10 is calculated as 25nm , 27nm and 29nm respectively. It is also observed from XRD of T-1, T-2 and T-3 that, upon increasing pH, peak broadening is observed for all the diffractions, and this could also be due to the decrease in crystallite size of the samples with pH.



Fig. 1. X-Ray Diffraction Patterns of T-1, T-2 and T-3.

3.2. Attenuated total reflectance spectroscopic studies

ATR spectra of both the samples were recorded from 500 - 4000 cm⁻¹ and are presented in Figure 2. Bands 752 cm⁻¹ corresponds to O- Ti- O bonding in TiO₂ (12). Low frequency bands

768

between 900 & 400 cm⁻¹ correspond to Ti-O-Ti vibration of the metal oxide (13) network, indicating the condensation of $Ti(OH)_2$ during calcinations.



Fig. 2. ATR spectra of T-1, T-2 and T-3.

3.3. UV- Visible spectroscopy

Band gaps for the prepared samples were studied from the UV – Visible absorption spectra of the samples dispersed in ethanol (Fig.3.). Absorption edge of T-1 is found at 324 nm and the peak for T-2 is appeared at 340 nm and the peak for T -3 is found at 344 nm .The band gap energy was calculated using the formula $E = hc/\lambda$ and it was found to be 3.63 eV, 3.65eV and 3.611eV. It is clear from UV that as crystallite size decreased absorption peaks become sharper and moves towards blue region. UV result is in agreement with the observed peak broadening in powder XRD pattern of T-2 (14)



Fig. 3. UV-Visible Absorbance Spectra of T-1, T-2 and T-3.

3.4. Field emission scanning electron microscopic analysis

Fig.4. shows FE-SEM micrographs of the TiO_2 nanostructures prepared by hydrothermal method at pH 8, 9& 10. The morphology appears like nanorods, with rectangular cross section (15). The thickness of the nanorod decreases as the pH increases. This decrease in thickness could be the reason for peak broadening appeared in powder XRD and observed blue shift in UV – Visible absorption spectroscopy.



Fig. 4. FE SEM image of TiO₂ nanostructures.

4. Conclusion

 TiO_2 nanorods were prepared by hydrothermal method at different pH conditions (pH – 8, 9 & 10). Upon increase pH crystallite size decreases, band gap increases. Presence of rutile, anatase and brookite mixed phase for the prepared TiO_2 is identified from powder XRD.

References

[1] Hani A. Alhadrami, Aisha Baqasi, Javed Iqbal, Raniyah A.M. Shoudri, Ahmad Mohammad Ashshi, Esam I. Azhar, Faten Al-Hazmi, Ahmed Al-Ghamdi, S. Wageh, American Journal of Nanomaterials, 5, 31 (2017); <u>https://doi.org/10.12691/ajn-5-1-5</u>

[2] Andreashaarstrick, Oemerm.Kut and Elmarheinzle, Environ. Sci. Technol. 30, 817(1996); https://doi.org/10.1021/es9502278

[3] D. Fakin, K. Stana Kleinschek, A. Ojstrek, Acta Physica Polonica A, 127, 943(2015); https://doi.org/10.12693/APhysPolA.127.943

[4] Amid Shakeri , Darren Yip , Maryam Badv , Sara M. Imani , Mehdi Sanjari and Tohid F. Didar , Materials, 11(1003),1(2018); <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11061003</u>

[5] J.Lademann, H.Weigmann, C.Rickmeyer, H. Barthelmes, H. Schaefer, G.Mueller, Skin Pharmacol Appl Skin Physiol,vol. 12(5) ,247(1999); <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000066249</u>

[6] Fatma A. Morsy, Samya El-Sherbiny, Marwa Samir, Osama A. Fouad, J. Coat. Technol. Res., 2015; <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11998-015-9735-7</u>

[7] Anand Kumar Tripathi, Manish Kumar Singh, Mohan Chandra Mathpal, Sheo Kumar Mishra, Arvind Agarwal, Journal of Alloys and Compounds ,549, 114(2013); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2012.09.012 [8] Ke Chen, Jingyi Li, Wenxi Wang, Yumin Zhang, Xiaojing Wang, Haiquan Su, Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing, 15, 20 (2012); <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2011.05.007</u>

[9] Lisa M. Daniel, Ray L. Frost, Huai Yong Zhu, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 316,72(2017); <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2007.08.023</u>

[10] Ji-Guang Li, Takamasa Ishigaki, Acta Materialia, 52, 5143(2004); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2004.07.020

[11] M.K.Singh, A.Agarwal, R.Gopal. R.K.Swarnkar, R.K.Kotnala, J.Mater.Chem 21(2011) 11074; <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c1jm12320c</u>

[12] G. Soler Illia, A.Louis and C.Sanchaz, Chemistry of materials, 14(2), 750(2002); https://doi.org/10.1021/cm011217a

[13] Samira Bagheri, Kamyar Shameli, and Sharifah Bee Abd Hamid, Journal of Chemistry, Vol.2013, Article ID 848205, 5 pages; <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/848205</u>

[14] Soon Jin Kwon, Hyo Been Im, Jung Eun Nam, Jin Kyu Kang ,Taek Sung Hwang, Kwang

Bok Yi, Applied Surface Science, 320, 487(2014); <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2014.09.110</u>

[15] Qurratulain, Salman Hameed, Safia A. Kazmi, Nadeem Ahmad, Wasi Khan, IEEE, INDICON 2015, 1570202613; <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/INDICON.2015.7443767</u>