# **ON THE ANTI-KEKULE NUMBER OF THREE FENCE GRAPHS**

### SIPING TANG, HANYUAN DENG\*

School of Mathematics and Computing Science, Hunan University of Science and Technology, Xiangtan, Hunan 411201, P. R. China School of Mathematics and Computing Science, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, P. R. China

The anti-Kekule number is the smallest number of edges that must be removed from a connected graph with a perfect matching so that the graph remains connected, but has no perfect matchings. The calculation of this invariant is demonstrated on ladders, cyclic ladders and Mobius ladders in this paper by analyzing the structures of their graphs, and it is shown that the anti-Kekule numbers of these models are 3 or 4.

(Received December 20, 2010; accepted February 22. 2011)

Keywords: anti-Kekule number, Kekule structure, ladder, Mobius ladder.

## 1. Introduction

Graph theory models have been extensively used as predictors of the properties of chemical compounds (see [1] and references within). The concept of perfect matchings corresponds to the notion of Kekule structure in chemistry and plays a very important role [2]. For example, it is well known that carbon compounds without Kekule structures are unstable. The study of Kekule structures of chemical compounds is very important, because they have many "hidden treasures" [3] that may explain their physical and chemical properties. Kekule, anti-Kekule and related structures are also discussed in terms of the current aromaticity and reactivity indices. Kekule is predicted to be aromatic and stable. This prediction is in agreement with experimental findings. Anti-Kekule is predicted to be nonaromatic and reactive. The synthesis of anti-Kekule has not yet been accomplished, but it seems that its preparation is imminent and difficult [4].

The anti-Kekule number [5] of a graph is the smallest number of edges that have to be removed from a graph in order that it remains connected, but without any Kekule structure (perfect matching). Vukicevic and Trinajstic [5] showed that all cata-benzenoids have anti-Kekule number either 2 or 3 and both classes are characterized. It was found that the anti-Kekule number of the smallest leapfrog fullerenes  $C_{60}$  is equal to 4. Further, Kutnar, Sedlar and Vukicevic [6] proved that the anti-Kekule number of all fullerenes is either 3 or 4 and that for each leapfrog fullerene the anti-Kekule number can be established by observing finite number of cases not depending on the size of the fullerene. Both of them attracted considerable interest in graph theory and chemistry.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: hydeng@hunnu.edu.cn



It is well known [7,8] that ladder graphs are defined as  $P_2 \times P_n$  and cyclic ladder graphs (prisms) as  $P_2 \times C_n$ , where  $P_n$  and  $C_n$  are the path and the cycle of order n, respectively. For another chemical reason, the prism or cyclic ladder graph has been called the Huckel ladder graph. A Mobius ladder graph as in Figure 1 just by a physical twist of a cyclic ladder graph. Accordingly, several interesting mathematical features including the Kekule number, characteristic polynomial and basis number have been discussed [8,9,10].

The aim of this paper is to analyze the anti-Kekule number of these three models.

#### Main results

All graphs in this paper are simple and connected with a perfect matching, if not explicitly stated otherwise. A perfect matching (or Kekule structure) in a graph G is a set M of edges of G such that every vertex of G is incident with exactly one edge from M.

An anti-Kekule set of G with Kekule structures is the set S such that G-S is a connected graph and it has no Kekule structures. An anti-Kekule set of the smallest cardinality is called a minimal anti-Kekule set, and its cardinality is the anti-Kekule number of G and it is denoted by ak(G).

**Theorem 1.**  $ak(CL_n) = \begin{cases} 3, \text{ if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd;} \\ 4, \text{ if } n \ge 4 \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$ 

**Proof.** Let  $C_1=u_1u_2...u_nu_1$  and  $C_2=v_1v_2...v_nv_1$  be two cycles of length n in  $CL_n$ , see Figure 1. It is easy to see that the graph obtained from  $CL_n$  by deleting any two edges has a Kekule structure, and  $ak(CL_n)>2$ .

If  $n \ge 3$  is odd, then  $CL_n$ -{ $u_1u_2$ ,  $u_2u_3$ ,  $v_2v_3$ } is connected and bipartite with vertex classes  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , where  $V_1$ ={ $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ ,  $u_4$ ,  $u_6$ , ...,  $u_{n-1}$ }  $\cup$  { $v_2$ ,  $v_4$ , ...,  $v_n$ } and  $V_2$ ={ $u_3$ ,  $u_5$ , ...,  $u_n$ }  $\cup$  { $v_1$ ,  $v_3$ , ...,  $v_{n-1}$ }. So,  $CL_n$ -{ $u_1u_2$ ,  $u_1v_1$ ,  $u_nv_1$ } has no Kekule structures and ak( $CL_n$ )=3 for odd n.

If  $n \ge 4$  is even, let  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$  be any three edges of  $CL_n$  such that  $G=CL_n-\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is connected, we will prove that G has a Kekule structure and  $ak(CL_n)>3$ .

**Case I.**  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in E(C_1) \cup E(C_2)$ . Then  $u_1v_1, u_2v_2, ..., u_nv_n$  is a Kekule structure of G.



Figure 2.

**Case II**. Exactly two of  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$  belong to  $E(C_1) \cup E(C_2)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $e_3 \notin E(C_1) \cup E(C_2)$ .

(i)  $e_1, e_2 \in E(C_1)$ . We may assume that  $e_1=u_1u_2$  and  $e_2=u_iu_{i+1}$  ( $2 \le i \le n$ ,  $u_{n+1}=u_1$ ).

When i=2,  $e_3 \neq u_2 v_2$  since G is connected. If  $e_3 \neq u_1 v_1$ , then  $u_2 v_2 v_3 \dots v_n v_1 u_1 u_n u_{n-1} \dots u_3$  is a

Hamilton path of G, see Figure 2(1); Otherwise,  $e_3 \neq u_3 v_3$ , then  $u_2 v_2 v_1 v_n v_{n-1...} v_3 u_3 u_{4...} u_n u_1$  is a

Hamilton path of G. So, G has a Kekule structure.

When i=n, the result holds similarly.

Now, we consider 2<i<n. Then at most one of  $u_1v_1$ ,  $u_2v_2$ ,  $u_iv_i$ ,  $u_{i+1}v_{i+1}$  is  $e_3$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $e_3 \neq u_1v_1$ ,  $u_2v_2$ , then  $u_iu_{i-1...}u_2v_2v_3...v_nv_1u_1u_nu_{n-1...}u_{i+1}$  is a Hamilton path

of G, see Figure 2(2), and G has a Kekule structure.

(ii)  $e_1, e_2 \in E(C_2)$ . Similarly to (i).

(iii)  $e_1 \in E(C_1)$ ,  $e_2 \in E(C_2)$ . We may assume that  $e_1=u_1u_2$  and  $e_2=v_iv_{i+1}$ .

If i=1, then at most one of  $u_1v_1$ ,  $u_2v_2$  is  $e_3$ , and  $u_1u_nu_{n-1...}u_2v_2v_3v_nv_1$  or  $u_2u_{3...}u_nu_1v_1v_nv_{n-1...}v_2$  is a Hamilton path of G. So, G has a Kekule structure.

If i=2, note that n is even,  $u_2u_3$ ,  $u_4u_5$ , ...,  $u_nu_1$ ,  $v_1v_2$ ,  $v_3v_4$ , ...,  $v_{n-1}v_n$  is a Kekule structure of G.

If i=n, i.e.,  $e_2=v_nv_1$ , then G has a Kekule structure similarly to i=2.

If 2<i<n, then at most one of  $u_1v_1$ ,  $u_2v_2$ ,  $u_iv_i$ ,  $u_{i+1}v_{i+1}$  is  $e_3$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $e_3 \neq u_1v_1, u_2v_2$ , then  $v_iv_{i-1...}v_2u_2u_3...u_nu_1v_1v_nv_{n-1...}v_{i+1}$  is a Hamilton path of G, and G has a

Kekule structure. (iv)  $e_1 \in E(C_2)$ ,  $e_2 \in E(C_1$ . Similarly to (iii).

**Case III**. Exactly one of  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$  belong to  $E(C_1) \cup E(C_2)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $e_1=u_1u_2 \in E(C_1) \cup E(C_2)$ .

If  $\{e_2, e_3\}=\{u_1v_1, u_2v_2\}$ , then  $u_2u_3v_3v_2v_1v_nv_{n-1}...v_4u_4u_5...u_nu_1$  is a Hamilton path of G; Otherwise, we may assume that  $u_1v_1 \neq e_2, e_3$ . Then  $u_2u_3...u_nu_1v_1v_2...v_n$  is a Hamilton path of G. So, G has a Kekule structure.

**Case IV.**  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \notin E(C_1) \cup E(C_2)$ . Then there is  $1 \le i \le n$  such that  $u_i v_i \ne e_1, e_2, e_3$  since

G is connected, and  $u_{i+1}u_{i+2}...u_nu_1...u_iv_iv_{i+1...}v_nv_1v_2...v_{i-1}$  is a Hamilton path of G. So, G has a Kekule structure.

Finally, we can see that  $CL_n$ -{ $u_1v_1$ ,  $u_1u_n$ ,  $u_3v_3$ ,  $u_3u_4$ } is connected and has no Kekule structures, and

 $ak(CL_n) \leq 4$ .

Hence,  $ak(CL_n)=4$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $ak(ML_n) = \begin{cases} 3, \text{ if } n \ge 2 \text{ is even}; \\ 4, \text{ if } n \ge 3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$ 

**Proof.** Let  $E_0 = \{u_i v_i | i=1, 2, ..., n\}$  be an edge-subset of ML<sub>n</sub>, see Figure 1.

It is easy to see that the graph obtained from  $ML_n$  by deleting any two edges has a Kekule structure, and  $ak(ML_n)>2$ .

If  $n \ge 2$  is even, then ML<sub>n</sub>-{u<sub>1</sub>u<sub>2</sub>, u<sub>1</sub>v<sub>1</sub>, u<sub>n</sub>v<sub>1</sub>} is connected and bipartite with vertex classes V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>, where V<sub>1</sub>={u<sub>1</sub>, u<sub>2</sub>, u<sub>4</sub>, u<sub>6</sub>, ..., u<sub>n</sub>}  $\cup$  {v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>3</sub>, ..., v<sub>n-1</sub>} and V<sub>2</sub>={u<sub>3</sub>, u<sub>5</sub>, ..., u<sub>n-1</sub>}  $\cup$  {v<sub>2</sub>, v<sub>4</sub>, ..., v<sub>n</sub>}. So, ML<sub>n</sub>-{u<sub>1</sub>u<sub>2</sub>, u<sub>1</sub>v<sub>1</sub>, u<sub>n</sub>v<sub>1</sub>} has no Kekule structures and ak(ML<sub>n</sub>)=3 for even n.

If  $n \ge 3$  is odd, let  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$  be three edges of ML<sub>n</sub> such that G=ML<sub>n</sub>-{ $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$ } is connected, we will prove that G has a Kekule structure and ak(ML<sub>n</sub>)>3.

**Case I.**  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3 \in E_0$ . Then  $C_0 = u_1 u_{2...} u_n v_1 v_{2...} v_n u_1$  is a Hamilton cycle in G, and G has a Kekule structure.

**Case II**. Exactly two of  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$  belong to  $E_0$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $e_3 \notin E_0$ .

Then  $C_0$ -{e<sub>3</sub>} is a Hamilton path of G, and G has a Kekule structure.

Case III. Exactly one of e1, e2, e3 belongs to E0. Without loss of generality, we assume

 $e_2, e_3 \notin E_0$  and  $e_2=u_1u_2$ .

(i) If  $e_3=u_2u_3$ , then  $e_1 \neq u_2v_2$  since G is connected. Note that  $G_1=ML_n-\{u_2, v_2\}$  is a ladder and

 $e_2, e_3 \notin G_1, G_1$  {e<sub>1</sub>} has a perfect matching M. So,  $\mathbb{M} \cup \{u_2v_2\}$  is a Kekule structure of G.

(ii) If  $e_3=v_2v_3$ , then  $C_1=u_1v_1v_2u_2u_3v_3v_4u_4u_5...v_{n-2}v_{n-1}u_{n-1}u_nv_nu_1$  is a Hamilton cycle of  $ML_n$ -{ $e_2$ ,  $e_3$ } since  $n \ge 3$  is odd, see Figure 3. So,  $G=ML_n$ -{ $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$ } has a Kekule structure.

(iii) If  $e_3=u_1v_n$  or  $e_3=u_nv_1$ , then, similarly to (i)-(ii), we can prove that G also has a Kekule structure.



(iv) If  $e_3 = u_i u_{i+1}$  or  $e_3 = v_i v_{i+1}$ ,  $3 \le i \le n-1$ , let  $f_1 = v_1 v_2$  and

$$\mathbf{f}_{2} = \begin{cases} v_{i}v_{i+1}, \text{ if } \mathbf{e}_{3} = \mathbf{u}_{i}\mathbf{u}_{i+1}; \\ \mathbf{u}_{i}\mathbf{u}_{i+1}, \text{ if } \mathbf{e}_{3} = v_{i}v_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

then ML<sub>n</sub>-{e<sub>2</sub>, e<sub>3</sub>, f<sub>1</sub>, f<sub>2</sub>} is the disjoint union of two ladders L<sub>r</sub> and L<sub>s</sub>, where  $r \ge 2$ ,  $s \ge 2$  and r+s=n. So, L<sub>r</sub>  $\cup$  L<sub>s</sub>-{e<sub>1</sub>} has a perfect matching, and G also has a Kekule structure.

Case IV. None of e<sub>1</sub>, e<sub>2</sub>, e<sub>3</sub> belong to E<sub>0</sub>. Then E<sub>0</sub> is a Kekule structure of G.

From Cases I-IV, we know  $ak(ML_n)>3$  for odd n.

Finally, we can see that  $ML_n$ -{ $u_1v_1$ ,  $u_1v_n$ ,  $u_3v_3$ ,  $u_3u_4$ } is connected and has no Kekule structures,

and  $ak(ML_n) \leq 4$ .

Hence, ak(ML\_n)=4.

Similarly to Theorems 1 and 2, we can obtain the anti-Kekule number of ladders, its proof is omitted here.

**Theorem 3.** 
$$ak(L_n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n = 3; \\ 3, & \text{if } n \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

#### Acknowledgements

Project supported by Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (09JJ6009).

## References

- R. Todescini, V. Consonni, Handbook of Molecular Descriptors, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, (2000).
- [2] I. Gutman, O. Polansky, Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1986).
- [3] M. Randic, Chem. Rev. 103, 3449 (2003).
- [4] D. Babic, N. Trinajstic, J. Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM, 314, 321 (1994).
- [5] D. Vukicevic, N. Trinajstic, J. Math. Chem. 43(2), 719(2008).
- [6] K. Kutnar, J. Sedlar, D. Vukicevic, J. Math. Chem. 45, 431 (2009).
- [7] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, (1969).
- [8] N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, 2nd Ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993).
- [9] H. Hosoya, F. Harary, J. Math. Chem. 12, 211 (1993).
- [10] M. Y. Alzoubi, M. M. M. Jaradat, International J. Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 12, 1861 (2005).