## Synthesis of CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub> NPs on quartz substrate for temperature sensors application

D. Faisal<sup>a</sup>, W. K. Kalef<sup>b</sup>, E. T. Salim<sup>b,\*</sup>, F. H. Alsultany<sup>c</sup> <sup>a</sup> Ibn khaldun privte university college -Iraq <sup>b</sup>University of Technology-Iraq, Department of Applied Science, , Baghdad, Iraq <sup>c</sup>Al-Mustaqbal university collage, Department of Medical Physics, Iraq

Temperature sensor of p-CuO/n-SnO<sub>2</sub> heterojunction was successfully fabricated and investigated. SnO<sub>2</sub> nanostructure was firstly synthesized via chemical vapor deposition. Followed by a top layer of CuO nanoparticles was deposited on SnO<sub>2</sub> by drop cast method. The SnO<sub>2</sub> film was analyzed via x-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscope (SEM). The XRD confirms the formation of the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanstructure .The SEM reveals the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles agglomerated together forming a cauliflowers-like nanostructure with a calculated particle size of 17nm. The temperature response corresponding to the relative variation of sensor resistance ( $\Delta R$ ) to a given temperature was measured. It was found that the present sensor has a high sensitivity of 0.56%/°C. Temperature sensor p-CuO/n-SnO<sub>2</sub> heterojunction was found to be quite promising material in the temperature range of 25-200° C.

(Received December 29, 2021; Accepted April 1, 2022)

*Keywords:* Tin oxide nanoparticles (SnO<sub>2</sub> NPs), Temperature sensor, Heterojunction, Copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO NPs), Sensor sensitivity

## 1. Introduction

Metal oxides,  $SnO_2$  is an important n-type wide-energy-gap semiconductor ( $E_g = 3.64 \text{ eV}$ , 330 K), which has a wide range of applications such as in solid-state gas sensors [1], transparent conducting electrodes [2], rechargeable Li batteries [3], and optical electronic devices [4]. Many processes have been developed to the synthesized  $SnO_2$  nanostructures, such as spray pyrolysis [5] , hydrothermal methods [6-8]. Also, evaporating tin grains in air [9]. SnO<sub>2</sub> has been widely used to detect combustible and toxic gases. Tin oxide nanowires (SnO<sub>2</sub> NWs) grown on quartz and silicon substrates via a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and fabricated as an ethanol gas sensor was recently reported [10]. Thermal evaporation of oxide powders [11], rapid oxidation tin metal [12], sol-gel method [13], etc. Highly crystalline SnO<sub>2</sub> nanowires has been also synthesized and functionalized with copper particles using a CVD technique [14]. Controlled oxidation of Cu to CuO led to the formation of CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub> p-n heterojunctions, which were found to be highly sensitive and selective towards the detection of  $H_2S$  gas. There are many uses of tin oxide as mainly as a gas sensor as mentioned previously by Khalef Wafaa Khalid et.al [15]. It can also fabricate as temperature sensor, other metal oxides also fabricated as a temperature sensor such as [16]. In the present work, we were focused on the CuO/SnO2 As A temperature sensor in at an attempted range of 20-200c.

## 2. Experimental procedure

The quartz substrates were firstly cleaned by sonication in deionized water for 15 minutes. Then they were immersed in a beaker containing isopropyl alcohol in an ultrasonic cleaner for about 15min. Finally, quartz substrates were flushed by a jet of nitrogen gas, then they were dried in the oven for 30 minutes. The CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub> was grown in two steps:

 $1^{st}$  step: SnO<sub>2</sub> nanostructure was synthesized via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique. Sn powder of 1g was loaded into small ceramic boat with quartz substrate positioned at 1cm from the powder. This ceramic boat was inserted in a middle of quartz tube of two opened

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: evan\_tarq@yahoo.com https://doi.org/10.15251/JOR.2022.182.205

206

ends. Argon and oxygen gases were introduced from the left side and water bubble was fixed at the outlet end. Firstly, Argon was introduced with a flow of 100sccm for 15 minutes to clean the quartz tube and then the temperature was raised with rate of 25° C/minute up to 900° C, then oxygen gas was introduced with a flow of 5sccm for 1h.

 $2^{nd}$  step: copper oxide (CuO) was deposited using drop cast method on the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanostracture film growth via chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Copper oxide nanoparticles (NPs) was prepared by dissolving 30 mM (0.054 g) of copper acetate (Cu<sub>2</sub> (CH<sub>3</sub>COO) <sub>4</sub>) in 10 ml of deionized water (DI). The solution was sonicated for 15 minutes to insure the dissolving of copper acetate in the water. Afterwards, a micro-pipette was used to drop the solution of 30 µL on the surface of the pre-synthesized SnO<sub>2</sub> on quartz substrates. The coated material was subsequently dried onto a hot plate at 150 °C for 5 minutes. These coating steps were repeated four times to insure the formation of CuO NPs on the SnO<sub>2</sub> film. The final product should be CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub> films prepared on quartz substrates.

## 3. Result and discussion

### 3.1. XRD analysis

XRD pattern was analyzed using X-ray diffractometer (Shimadzue 6000 / Japan) with  $CuK_{\alpha}$  ( $\lambda$ = 0.15406 nm) radiation. Fig. 1 shows the XRD pattern of SnO<sub>2</sub> synthesized by CVD. This pattern shows a polycrystalline structure with three strongest peaks at 2 $\Theta$ =26.9821°, 34.2641°, and 52.1476°. These peaks belongs to the (110), (101), and (211), respectively. There are other small peaks belongs to the same structure. The analysis indicates that the synthesized material is pure and less crystalline. Due to the XRD analysis, it was found that the results were comparable to the SnO<sub>2</sub> standard data of JPDFS # 41-1445.

The average crystallite size value of  $SnO_2$  nanoparticles was calculated using Debye-Scherrer's formula as below [17]:

$$D=0.9\lambda / \beta \cos \Theta \tag{1}$$

where D,  $\lambda$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\Theta$  are the crystallite size, x-ray wavelength, full width at half maximum (FWHM), and Bragg's angle, respectively. So, the calculated value of the SnO<sub>2</sub> crystallite size is 17nm±0.8. This value is small and confirm the nanostructure formation. The lattice parameters a, and c for SnO<sub>2</sub> was calculated using the following equation [18]

$$1/d^{2} = \{(h^{2} + k^{2})/a^{2}\} + l^{2}/c^{2}$$
(2)

The standard values of a and c for  $SnO_2$  were a=4.737Å and c= 3.185Å. Where the calculated values of the present structure are a= 4.670Å, and c=3.156Å. It was also compared with the data of other workers [19-20]. These data are presented in Table 1.

Lattice parameters	Present work	Standard (JPDFS # 41-	References	
L		1445)	[19]	[20]
a (Å)	4.670	4.737	4.687	4.765
c (Å)	3.156	3.185	3.161	3.1843

Table 1. Lattice parameters calculated data for SnO<sub>2</sub>NPs.



Fig. 1. XRD pattern of  $SnO_2$  on quartz substrate.

## 3.2. SEM analysis

The morphology of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanostructure on quartz substrate was analyzed by using scanning electron microscope (SEM / FEI Inspect S50 /Netherland). Figure 2 shows SEM images at various magnifications. These images reveal the SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles agglomerated together forming a cauliflowers-like nanostructures. It covers a large area on the quartz substrate as shown in Fig. 2a. The average size of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles was

calculated previously from the XRD data. Small particle size within 17nm was found.



Fig. 2 SEM images at various magnifications (a) 50, (b) 20, (c) 10, and d)  $5\mu$  m for SnO<sub>2</sub> NP's (cauliflower-like nanostructure) on quartz substrates. SEM and EDS of CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub>/ quartz

Figure 3 shows a typical SEM image of  $CuO/SnO_2$  nanostructures at various magnifications. The elemental spectrum of of  $CuO/SnO_2$  structures shown in Fig.3 is explained in the Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy as shown in Fig.4 with the corresponding elemental data. The EDS spectrum is clearly shows the Sn, Cu, and O elements.



Fig. 3 SEM of CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub>/ quartz.



Fig. 4. EDS of CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub>/ quartz with corresponding elemental analysis.

#### 3.3. Temperature Sensor Fabrication

The temperature sensor was fabricated based on p-CuO/ n-SnO<sub>2</sub> materials on quartz substrates. Fig. 3 demonstrate the sensor device. The two arrows represent the probes used for the electrical and thermal measurements. I-V characteristics of p-CuO/n-SnO<sub>2</sub> in the dark was firstly measured as shown in Fig.4. The observed curve indicates a rectification phenonmenon. This is reflected the contacts of n and p type of semiconductor materials.



Fig. 5. Fabrication of the temperature sensor device of CuO/ SnO<sub>2</sub>/quartz.



Fig. 6. I-V characteristic of CuO /SnO<sub>2</sub>/Qz in the dark.

### 3.4. Thermal measurements of CuO /SnO<sub>2</sub>

The resistance of the sensing device was measured as a function of temperature in the range of 25-200°C. This measurement is plotted as shown in Fig.7. The data were fitted to an exponential curve with the fitting formula of:

$$v=0.0431e^{(-0.008x)}$$
 (3)

Regarding to the fitting formula represented in equation (3), it was compared with the exponential formula of the resistance [21].

$$\mathbf{R}_{t} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{e}^{-(\alpha \, \Delta T)} \tag{4}$$

where  $R_0$ ,  $R_t$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\Delta$  T are the resistance at 0°C, resistance at a certain temperature, thermal coefficient, and temperature difference, respectively. So, the obtained value of  $R_0$  is 0.0431M $\Omega$ 

and  $\alpha$ =-0.008/°C. The minus sign means that the resistance is exponentially decreasing when the temperature increased. Figure 6 shows a linear relation between lnR/lnR<sub>o</sub> versus the temperature. The slope of the linear fitting relation represents the temperature coefficient =0.0083/°C.



Fig. 7. Resistance versus temperature of CuO /SnO<sub>2</sub>/Qz at air.



Fig. 8. Ln  $R/R_0$  versus temperature of CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub>/Qz.

#### **3.5. Temperature Sensitivity**

The temperature sensitivity is an essential factor to evaluate the sensor performance, which is based on temperature variation. Firstly the sensor's response and its sensitivity are investigated. The resistance of the sample exponentially decreased with increasing temperatures over the range as previously shown in Fig. 5. The temperature response can be given in terms of relative variation ( $\Delta R$ ) of the sensor resistance to a given temperature, [22]:

$$\Delta R = [R_o - R_t / R_o] / x \ 100\% \tag{5}$$

where  $R_0$  and  $R_t$  are the initial resistance and the resistance of the sensor at different temperature, respectively.

The variation of  $\Delta R$  versus temperature was plotted in the range from 25-200°C is shown in Fig. 7. The sensitivity of this device is determined from the slope of  $\Delta R$ . The highest sensitivity (S=  $\Delta R / R_0 \Delta T$ ) value of 0.56%/1°C was calculated from Fig. 7. The average value of the resistance change per 1 °C in the temperature range of 25-200°C was equal to 150Ω/°C.



Fig. 9. Variations of  $\Delta R$  versus temperature of CuO /SnO<sub>2</sub>.

T(°C)	$R(M\Omega)$	$R(k\Omega)$	$\Delta R = \left[ \left( R_{o} - R \right) / R_{o} \right] / \%$	$R_{o}$ (k $\Omega$ )
25	0.035	35.0	18.8	
50	0.029	29.0	32.7	
75	0.023	23.0	46.6	
100	0.0195	19.5	54.8	43.1
125	0.014	14.0	67.5	
150	0.012	12.0	72.2	
175	0.011	11.0	74.5	
200	0.008	8.00	81.4	

Table 2. Temperature sensor measurements of CuO/SnO<sub>2</sub> film.

# **5.** Conclusion

The hetrojunction metal oxides of p-  $CuO/n-SnO_2$  were synthesized via CVD and drop cast methods. The structure and morphology of the films were investigated by SEM and XRD. The electrical and thermal properties were measured and confirmed a semiconductor properties.

These films was successfully fabricated as a promising temperature sensor based on  $CuO/SnO_2$  and exhibit a maximum sensitivity in the temperature range of 20-200° C.

# References

[1] Ying, Z., Wan, Q., Song, Z.T., Feng, S.L, Nanotechnology 15, 1682 (2004); https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/15/11/053

[2] Chopra, K.L., Major, S., Pandya, D.K., Thin Solid Films 102, 1 (1983); https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-6090(83)90256-0

[3] Peng, Z., Shi, Z., Liu, M., Chem. Commun. 21, 25 (2000); https://doi.org/10.1039/b007687m

[4] Aoki, A., Sasakura, H., Japan J. Appl. Phys. 9, 582 (1970); https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.9.582

[5] Paraguay-Delgado, F., Antúnez-Flores, W., Miki-Yoshida, M., Aguilar- Elguezabal, A., Santiago, P., Diaz, R., Ascencio, J.A. Nanotechnology 16, 688 (2005);

https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/16/6/011

[6] Cheng, B., Russell, J.M., Shi, W., Zhang, L., Samulski, E.T.: Large-scale, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 5972 (2004); <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0493244</u>

[7] Du, F., Guo, Z., Li, G., Mater. Lett. 59, 2563 (2005); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2005.03.046

[8] Fujihara, S., Maeda, T., Ohgi, H., Hosono, E., Imai, H., Kim, S., Langmuir 20, 6476 (2004); https://doi.org/10.1021/la0493060 212

[9] Duan, J., Yang, S., Liu, H., Gong, J., Huang, H., Zhao, X., Zhang, R., Du, Y., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 6180 (2005); <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ja042748d</u>

[10] Khalid, Khalef Wafaa, Aljubouri Ali Abadi, Faisal Abdulqader Dawood, Journal of Inorganic and Organometallic Polymers and Materials 30.9 (2020): 3294-3304; https://doi.org/10.1007/s10904-020-01617-3

[11] Dai, Z.R., Gole, J.L., Stout, J.D., Wang, Z.L., T J. Phys. Chem. B 106, 1274 (2002); https://doi.org/10.1021/jp013214r

[12] Hu, J.Q., Ma, X.L., Shang, N.G., Xie, Z.Y., Wong, N.B., Lee, C.S., Lee, S.T., J. Phys. Chem. B 106, 3823 (2002); <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0125552</u>

[13] Pourfayaz, F., Khodadadi, A., Mortazavi, Y., Mohajerzadeh, S.S., Sensors Actuators B108, 172 (2005); <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2004.12.107</u>

[14] Giebelhaus, Irina, Elena Varechkina, Thomas Fischer, Marina Rumyantseva, Vladimir Ivanov, Alexander Gaskov, Joan Ramon Morante, Jordi Arbiol, Wieland Tyrra, Sanjay Mathur, Journal of Materials Chemistry A 1, no. 37 (2013): 11261-11268; https://doi.org/10.1039/c3ta11867c

[15] Khalef Wafaa Khalid, Aljubouri Ali Abadi, Faisal Abdulqader Dawood, Journal of Inorganic and Organometallic Polymers and Materials

[16] Ali A. Aljubouri, Abdulqader D. Faisal, Wafaa K. Khalef, Materials Science-Poland, 36(3), 2018, pp. 460-468; <u>https://doi.org/10.2478/msp-2018-0051</u>

[17] S. Chacko, N.S. Philip, K.G. Gophandran, P. Koshy, V.K. Vaidyan, 254, 2179-2186, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2008; <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2007.09.027</u>

[18] R.R. Kasar, N.G. Deshpande, Y.G. Gudage, J.C. Vyas, R. Sharma, 403, pp. 3724-3729, Physica B 2008; <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2008.06.023</u>

[19] S. P. Choudhury, N. Kumari, A. Bhattacharjee, UGC Sponsored National Seminar on Emerging Trends in Plasma Technology and Its Applications (ETPTA-2014), 20-21 August 2014, Sri Vasavi College, Erode – 638316, India

[20] Ning Wan, Xia Lu, Yuesheng Wang, Weifeng Zhan, Ying Bai, Yong-Sheng Hu. Sheng Dai, Scientific Reports 6:18978 January 2016, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep18978</u>

[21] Richa Srivastava, Journal of Sensor Technology 02(01), January 2012; https://doi.org/10.4236/jst.2012.21002

[22] A. Croft, T. Croft, R. Davison, M. Hargreaves, Engineering Mathematics: a Modern Foundation for Electronic, Electrical, and Control Engineers, Addison-Wesley, London, 1992.