NANOFABRICATION FOR DRUG DELIVERY AND TISSUE ENGINEERING
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Recent research on biosystems at the nanoscale has created one of the most dynamic science and technology domains at the confluence of physical sciences, molecular engineering, biology, biotechnology and medicine. Nanobiosystems research is a priority in many countries and its relevance within nanotechnology is expected to increase in the future. The realization that the nano-scale has certain properties needed to solve important medical challenges and cater to unmet medical needs is driving nano-medical research. The present review explores the bottom-up and top-down nanofabrication technologies and their use in various drug delivery and tissue engineering applications.
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1. Introduction

Nanotechnology has become a rapidly growing field with potential applications ranging from electronics to cosmetics. Richard Feynman introduced the concept of nanotechnology in his pioneering lecture “There's plenty of room at the bottom” at the 1959 meeting of the American Physical Society. However, only recently has our ability to harness the properties of atoms, molecules and macromolecules advanced to a level that can be used to build materials, devices and systems at the nanoscale.

The term “nanotechnology” varies greatly based on the specific definition that is used. National Science Foundation and the National Nanotechnology Initiative define nanotechnology as understanding and control of matter at dimensions of 1–100 nm where unique phenomena enable novel applications. In this manuscript, we use a similar definition; however, we also discuss molecular structures, materials and devices with dimension of 1–100 nm in one of their dimensions. This includes miniaturization approaches that generate nanofabricated structures such as nanopatterns and nanotextures.

Interestingly, much of what we know about bulk properties of materials breaks down at these length scales. For example, nanomaterials such as carbon nanotubes and gold nanoparticles have physical properties that are different from their bulk counterparts. Therefore, such technologies generate new opportunities and applications.

Nanoscale materials and devices can be fabricated using either “bottom-up” or “top-down” fabrication approaches. In bottom-up methods, nanomaterials or structures are fabricated from buildup of atoms or molecules in a controlled manner that is regulated by thermodynamic means such as self-assembly [1]. Alternatively, advances in microtechnologies can be used to fabricate nanoscale structures and devices. These techniques, which are collectively referred to as top-down nanofabrication technologies, include photolithography, nanomolding, dip-pen lithography and nanofluidics [2, 3]. It is perhaps because of the breadth of different approaches in the synthesis and fabrication of nano-molecules and nano-devices that chemical engineers are
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playing a key role in advancing the field of nanotechnology. On one hand, chemical engineers possess the skills to understand molecular events through modeling and simulation as well as thermodynamic and kinetic calculations, while on the other hand, they have the ability to understand systems, device miniaturization and fluidics associated with top-down fabrication strategies.

Nanomaterials and devices provide unique opportunities to advance medicine. The application of nanotechnology to medicine is referred to as “nanomedicine” or “nanobiomedicine” and could impact diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of diseases as well as control and understanding of biological systems. In this review, we discuss the use of nanotechnology for medical applications with focus on its use for drug delivery and tissue engineering. Specifically, we discuss bottom-up and topdown nanofabrication technologies and their use in various drug delivery and tissue engineering applications.

2. Nanotechnology for drug delivery

Controlled drug-delivery strategies have made a dramatic impact in medicine. In general, controlled-release polymer systems deliver drugs in the optimum dosage for long periods, thus increasing the efficacy of the drug, maximizing patient compliance and enhancing the ability to use highly toxic, poorly soluble or relatively unstable drugs. Nanoscale materials can be used as drug delivery vehicles to develop highly selective and effective therapeutic and diagnostic modalities [4-6]. There are a number of advantages with nanoparticles in comparison to microparticles. For example, nanoscale particles can travel through the bloodstream without sedimentation or blockage of the microvasculature. Small nanoparticles can circulate in the body and penetrate tissues such as tumors. In addition, nanoparticles can be taken up by the cells through natural means such as endocytosis. Nanoparticles have already been used to deliver drugs to target sites for cancer therapeutics [7] or deliver imaging agents for cancer diagnostics [8]. These vehicles can be engineered to recognize biophysical characteristics that are unique to the target cells and therefore minimize drug loss and toxicity associated with delivery to non-desired tissues.

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of examples of bottom-up (a) and topdown (b) nanotechnology approaches for controlled drug delivery: (a) shows an illustration of a controlled-release nanoparticle cut in half. The nanoparticle may contain drugs and will be coated with PEG molecules and targeting molecules to regulate its interactions with the surroundings inside the body; (b) shows a microfabricated drug delivery device containing reservoirs that contain drugs. As the cap for each reservoir is removed, the drug will be released.

In general, targeted nanoparticles comprise the drug, the encapsulating material and the surface coating (Fig. 1a). The encapsulating material could be made from biodegradable polymers, dendrimers (treelike macromolecules with branching tendrils that reach out from a central core) or liposomes (spherical lipid bilayers). Controlled release of drugs (such as small molecules, DNA,
RNA or proteins) from the encapsulating material is achieved by the release of encapsulated drugs through surface or bulk erosion, diffusion, or triggered by the external environment, such as changes in pH, light, temperature or by the presence of analytes such as glucose [6]. Controlled-release biodegradable nanoparticles can be made from a wide variety of polymers including poly (lactic acid) (PLA), poly (glycolic acid) (PGA), poly (lactic co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) and polyanhydride. PGA, PLA and their co-polymer PLGA are common biocompatible polymers that are used for making nanoparticles. Since PGA is more susceptible to hydrolysis than PLA, by changing the ratio of these two components, PLGA polymers can be synthesized with various degradation rates. Current research into novel nanomaterials is aimed at improving the properties of the materials such as biocompatibility, degradation rate and control over the size and homogeneity of the resulting nanoparticles.

In order to control the targeted drug delivery of intravenously delivered nanoparticles, nanoparticle interactions with other cells, such as macrophages must be controlled. Various approaches have been developed to control these interactions, ranging from changing the size of the particle to changing nanoparticle surface properties. To remove nonspecific protein adhesion and decrease uptake by macrophages, nanoparticles can be functionalized using protein replant materials, such as poly (ethylene glycol) (PEG) [7] and polysaccharides [8, 9]. Nonadhesive surface coatings increase the circulation time of the nanoparticles [7] and reduce toxic effects associated with non-targeted delivery [10, 11]. More recently, novel approaches aimed at conjugating small molecules on nanoparticles using high-throughput methods have yielded nanoparticle libraries that could be subsequently analyzed for their targeted properties [12]. Also, noncovalent approaches have been used to surface modify nanoparticles. For example, the layer-by-layer deposition of ionic polymers have been used to change surface properties of nanoparticles, such as quantum dots [13]. Layer-by-layer methods alter the surface charge of nanoparticles, which has been shown to regulate nanoparticle biodistribution. For example, increasing the charge of cationic pegylated liposomes decreases their accumulation in the spleen and blood, while increasing their uptake by the liver and tumor vessels [14].

To eliminate the need for surface modification schemes, amphiphilic polymers may be synthesized by covalently linking biodegradable polymers to PEG prior to formation of nanoparticles. For example, nanoparticles can be synthesized from amphiphilic copolymers composed of lipophilic (i.e., PLGA or PLA) and hydrophilic (i.e., PEG) polymers. Upon formation of these nanoparticles, PEG migrates to the surface in the presence of an aqueous solution forming pegylated nanoparticles [15].

To target nanoparticles to the desired tissues, a number of methods have been developed. These include physical means such as controlling the size, charge and hydrophobicity of the particles. In addition, targeting molecules, such as antibodies and peptides, that recognize specific cell surface proteins and receptors, can be conjugated to the nanoparticle surface to specifically target specific cell types. Antibodies and peptides have been successfully used to target nanoparticles to a number of desired cell types and provide powerful means of directing controlled-release nanoparticles to specific sites in the body. Potential disadvantages of antibody- and peptide-based targeting include their batch-to-batch variation and their potential immunogenicity. Aptamers, a class of DNA- or RNA-based ligands, may overcome some of the limitations associated with antibody- and peptide-based drug delivery. Aptamers have been conjugated to nanoparticles to generate nanoparticles that can target prostate cancer cells [15, 16].

Current research in targeting the delivery of nanoparticles involves validating the in vivo efficacy of the various targeting approaches and developing methods of enhancing the targeting of the particles without side effects. Future generations of nanoparticles promise to not only deliver drugs to the desired sites within the body, but to do so in a temporally regulated manner. For example, nanoparticles have recently been generated that can be used to sequentially deliver drugs to cancer cells so that each drug is delivered at the proper time to induce cell death as well as to prevent angiogenesis [17]. It is envisioned that the development of “smart” nanoparticles could be a powerful means of further enhancing the functionality of these nanoparticles.

In addition to polymeric nanoparticles, other types of nanomaterials have also been used for medical applications. For example, quantum dots, nanoparticles with novel electoluminescent properties and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents have been used to image cancer
cells. Also, carbon nanotubes, nanowires and nanoshells have also been used for various
therapeutic and diagnostic applications [18]. Each of these materials provides unique physical,
chemical and biological properties that are based on the nanoscale size and structure of the
materials. For example, quantum dots are more stable than chemical fluorophores, have tighter
emission wavelengths and can be engineered to emit at specific wavelengths by changing its size.
Thus, the targeted delivery of these materials could potentially lead to significant medical
breakthroughs.

Top-down nanofabrication and microfabrication approaches based on integrated circuit
processing may be used to fabricate controlled-release drug delivery devices. Using
photolithographic and integrated circuit processing methods, silicon-based microchips have been
fabricated that can release single or multiple chemicals on demand using electrical stimuli [19]
(Fig. 1b). These engineered microdevices can be used to maintain biological activity of the drugs
and facilitate the local, accurate and controlled release of potentially complex drug-release
profiles. In addition to silicon-based devices, polymeric-based microfabricated devices have been
made that can release drugs based on the degradation of polymeric reservoir covers [20].
Microfabrication techniques have also been used to develop transdermal drug delivery approaches
based on microfabricated needles [21].

These microfabricated needles, which are much smaller than hypodermic needles, may be
used to deliver drugs in a painless and efficient manner. By penetrating through the outer 10–20
µm of skin, microneedles can deliver drugs without activating sensory nerves of the tissue, thus
providing a painless method of delivering drugs. Although the above examples have been
performed using microscale resolution, the current state-of-the-art in top-down nanofabrication
approaches can generate features that are less than 100 nm in resolution. Therefore, the fabrication
of nanoscale devices using these approaches is theoretically possible and may be advantageous for
specific drug-delivery applications in which miniaturized nanoscale devices are desired.

Interestingly, bottom-up and top-down approaches have merged to optimize drug-delivery
vehicles. For example, microfabricated approaches have been used to develop microfluidic devices
that mimic the body’s vasculature and can be used to test and optimize the interaction of targeted
nanoparticles with the cells that line the cancer blood vessels [15]. By changing parameters such as
shear stress and geometry of the channel, as well as nanoparticle properties such as size, and
surface properties optimized nanoparticle formulations can be obtained before performing costly
animal and clinical experiments.

3. Nanotechnology in tissue engineering

Tissue engineering combines biology, medicine, engineering and materials science to
develop tissues that restore, maintain or enhance tissue function [22]. To recapitulate proper
function and organization of native tissues in tissue engineering approaches, it is important to
mimic tissue properties at the nanoscale. For example, in the body, the extracellular matrix (ECM)
provides a natural web of tissue-specific and organized nanofibers that support and maintain the
cell microenvironment. In addition, cells in the body reside in a unique environment that is
regulated by cell-cell, cell-ECM and cell-soluble factors presented in a spatially and temporally
dependent manner. Thus, engineering approaches and methods that aim to use tissue engineering
principles must have the same level of complexity. Nanotechnologies and microtechnologies can
be merged with biomaterials to generate scaffolds for tissue engineering that can maintain and
regulate cell behavior. Also, such technologies can be used to regulate in vitro cellular
microenvironment to direct stem cell differentiation (Fig. 2).

Many tissue engineering approaches rely on the use of 3D biodegradable scaffolds that
place cells in close proximity to each other. Inside these scaffolds, cells deposit their own matrix
and as the scaffold degrades, they form a 3D tissue structure that mimics the body’s natural
tissues. Nanofabricated and microfabricated tissue engineering scaffolds have the potential to
direct cell fate as well as regulate processes such as angiogenesis and cell migration. Both top-
down and bottom-up technologies have been used to incorporate nanoscale control for tissue
engineering scaffolds. Top-down approaches, such as soft lithography, have greatly enhanced our
ability to generate microscale and nanoscale features since they limit the use of expensive clean rooms [23].

Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the top-down (a) and bottom-up (b) nanotechnology approaches for tissue engineering: (a) Nanofabrication approaches can be used to generate 3D tissue engineering scaffolds with controlled pore geometries, shapes and degradation properties; (b) Nanotechnology can also be used to generate tissue engineering scaffolds from the self-assembly of nanomaterials, such as amphiphilic peptides that generate higher order structures such as nanofibers.

These approaches have been used for fabricating tissue engineering scaffolds with control over features such as pore geometry, size, distribution and spatial geometry. For example, microfabricated approaches have been used to directly engineer the microvasculature within tissue engineering scaffolds by micromolding biocompatible polymers such as poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) and poly(glyceride sebacate) (PGS) [24-26]. In this approach, a network of microfluidic channels that mimic the tissue microvasculature are fabricated from PLGA or PGS. By stacking multiple layers of these microfabricated plates, tissue engineered scaffolds can be fabricated with nanoscale control. Other approaches, such as the layer-by-layer deposition of cells and proteins using microfluidic channels [27], microsyringe deposition of PLGA polymer [28], and photopolymerization within microfluidic channels [29] have been used to generate 3D structures with controlled geometries and properties (Fig. 2a). The miniaturization of these technologies can be performed to generate scaffolds with sub-100 nm features, such as grooves, pores and surface patterns.

Bottom-up approaches based on molecular self-assembly of small building blocks have also been used to generate tissue engineering scaffolds. Research into self-assembly of amphiphilic peptides has shown that they can self-assemble to form hydrogels for tissue engineering [30]. Self-assembled scaffolds can be easily functionalized by incorporating peptide sequences that direct cell behavior directly into the build-up molecule. For example, self-assembled gels were fabricated that directed neural stem cell differentiation to neurons and repressed astrocyte differentiation without exogenous growth factors [31]. These gels were made from peptides that expressed isoleucine-lysine-valine-alanine-valine (IKVAV, an amino acid sequence found in laminin) and self-assembled to form nanofibers. Similar approaches have been used for other tissues such as cartilage, bone and cardiac applications, and show great promise in tissue engineering.

Microfabrication and nanofabrication approaches have also been used to modify surface properties with resolutions as small as 50 nm for controlling cell behavior. For example, topographical features that were a few microns across were used to orient cardiomyocytes and enhance their function [32]. Studies have shown that nanopatterns can be used as means of orienting cells and guiding cell migration. Although much work needs to be done in understanding the biological mechanism associated with the effects of surface topography on cell behavior, the ability to engineer these properties has been useful for applications ranging from inducing the migration of an osteoblast on dental implants to controlling neurite outgrowth.

In addition, microtopology and nanotopology can influence cell gene expression and migration and thus can be incorporated into microfabricated tissue engineering scaffolds. For example, topographically patterned
PLGA surfaces have been shown to induce alignment and elongation of smooth muscle cells [33] and to enhance the adhesion of several cell types such as endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells [34, 35].

Using micropatterning and nanopatterning, cell shape has also been shown to influence cell behavior. Changes in cell shape alter the cell cytoskeleton and influence cell fate decisions such as apoptosis, proliferation [36] and differentiation [37]. Controlling cellular microenvironment using nanopatterning and micropatterning may be used for directing cell fate for tissue engineering applications. It is envisioned that the incorporation of such patterning approaches can be used to direct cell behavior to induce stem cell differentiation and generate desired cell types or regulate cell behavior within 3D scaffolds.

4. Conclusions

Nanotechnology is an emerging field that is potentially changing the way we treat diseases through drug delivery and tissue engineering. However, significant challenges remain in pushing this field into clinically viable therapies. For drug delivery, the design and testing of novel methods of controlling the interaction of nano-materials with the body are some of the current barriers to translating these technologies to therapies. Methods of targeting nanomaterials to specific sites of the body while avoiding capture by organs, such as the liver and spleen, are major challenges that need to be addressed. With respect to tissue engineering, it is envisioned that new nanomaterials that provide proper signals and environmental cues to cells as well as generate 3D microenvironments may be advantageous over today’s polymers. Nanoscale structures such as surface topography and patterning could be used to direct cell behavior. The incorporation of these strategies within tissue engineering scaffolds could further enhance their function. As Feynman had predicted, there has been plenty of room at the bottom to modify and enhance existing technologies by controlling material properties at the nanoscale. Therefore, with sufficient time and research, the promise of nanotechnology based medicine may become a reality.
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